

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,139	09/30/2003	Adrian P. Stephens	884.B29US1	6402	
59796 INTEL CORPO	7590 02/05/2008 ORATION		EXAMINER		
c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC			MOORE, IAN N		
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

.•			
\$0	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/676,139	STEPHENS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ian N. Moore	2616	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in conce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI 36(a) and the appropriat	on. ILED WITHIN re extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat).	nally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of the 7 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloce) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		tional. Elad amandona	nt annualing tha
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separațe,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 16,35 and 51.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-11,15,17-22,26,27,30,34,36,37,4 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>0,41,45,46 and 50</u> .		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowar	nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	$1 \leq 1$	

DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

13. Other: ____.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Claim 1 is amended in lines 1-2 to include "performing within a single wireless communication device". Claim 11 is also amended in lines 1-2. Theses additions made to claims 1,2,4,6-11,13,15-20 raise new issues.

The objection to claim 21 is withdrawn since the claim is amended accordingly.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regardins claims 1, 11, 21, and 46, applicant argues that "Ho does not show...the preamble in a second PDU does not follow an IFS..FIG. 6 does not show any preambles at all...Figs. 2 and 4a, b, c of Ho are not relevant to applicatnt claims..." in pages 13-14.

In response to applicant's argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the argument above.

Ho discloses transmitting (see FIG. 1,3,6, first wireless station 10/110 transmitting; see page 1, paragraph 7-10; see page 3, paragraph 36) a first protocol data unit (see FIG. 6, first MAC protocol data unit (MSDU) frame; see page 3, paragraph 38-41) over an air interface (see FIG. 1,3,6, over a wireless medium 112; see page 1, paragraph 7-10; see page 3, paragraph 36), wherein the first protocol data unit includes

a first preamble (see FIG. 2-4, a preamble 24 of first MSDU) to enable a receiver to synchronize (see FIG. 1-2, 5, preamble time/synchronize the receiving station 12/102; see page 1, paragraph 8-10; page 3, paragraph 38; page 5, paragraph 53); a first header (see FIG. 2-4, a header 26 of first MSDU), following the first preamble (see FIG. 2-4, a header 26 follows/next to the preamble 24; see page 1, paragraph 8-10; page 3, paragraph 38; page 5, paragraph 53); and

a first service data unit (see FIG. 2-4, data 28 of first MSDU), following the first header (see FIG. 2-4, a data 28 follows/next to the header 26; see page 1, paragraph 8-10; see page 3, paragraph 38; page 5, paragraph 53); and

transmitting (see FIG. 1,3,6, first wireless station 10/110 transmitting; see page 1, paragraph 7-10; see page 3, paragraph 36) a second protocol data unit (see FIG. 6, second MSDU frame; see page 3, paragraph 38-41) over the air interface (see FIG. 1,3,6, over a wireless medium 112; see page 1, paragraph 7-10; see page 3, paragraph 36) without an interframe space between the first protocol data unit and the second protocol data unit (see FIG. 6, multiple (e.g. first and second) MSDUs are aggregated within a single aggregation frame without IFS 35 (see FIG. 2,4); see page 1, paragraph 9-10; page 3, paragraph 38-42);

the second protocol data unit includes (see FIG. 6, second MSDU):

a second preamble (see FIG. 2,4, preamble 24 of second MSDU, note that FIG. 2-4 show a typical MSDU format and thus it applies to each and every MSDU in the aggregated frame including a second MSDU; see page 1, paragraph 8-10; see page 3, paragraph 38; page 5, paragraph 53),

a second header (see FIG. 2-4, a header 26 of second MSDU), following the second preamble (see FIG. 2-4, a second header 26 follows/next to the second preamble 24; note that FIG. 2-4 show a typical MSDU format and thus it applies to each and every MSDU in the aggregated frame including a second MSDU; see page 1, paragraph 8-10; page 3, paragraph 38; page 5, paragraph 53); and a second service data unit (see FIG. 2-4, data 28 of second MSDU), following the second header following the first header (see FIG. 2-4, a data 28 follows/next to the second header 26; note that FIG. 2-4 show a typical MSDU format and thus it applies to each and every MSDU in the aggregated frame including a second MSDU; see page 1, paragraph 8-10; see page 3, paragraph 38; page 5, paragraph 53)

wherein the second protocol data unit includes (see FIG. 6, second MSDU):

a second header (see FIG. 2-4, a header 26 of second MSDU), following the second preamble (see FIG. 2-4, a second header 26 follows/next to the second preamble 24; note that FIG. 2-4 show a typical MSDU format and thus it applies to each and every MSDU in the aggregated frame including a second MSDU; see page 1, paragraph 8-10; page 3, paragraph 38; page 5, paragraph 53); and a second service data unit (see FIG. 2-4, data 28 of second MSDU), following the second header following the first header (see FIG. 2-4, a data 28 follows/next to the second header 26; note that FIG. 2-4 show a typical MSDU format and thus it applies to each and every MSDU in the aggregated frame including a second MSDU; see page 1, paragraph 8-10; see page 3, paragraph 38; page 5, paragraph 53). (Emphasis added)

FIG. 6 shows one example of MAC protocol data unit (MSDU) frame of MAC protocol data units (MSDUs). FIG. 6 only show one example MSDU and Ho's disclosures clearly discloses that there are multiple MSDUs. In particular, per page 3, paragraph 38-41, Ho discloses that there are multiple MSDUs are transmitted. Clearly, Ho's second MSDU is transmitted without any interface space between first and second MSDUs since FIG. 6 and corresponding disclosures shown or recites the claimed limitation.

FIG. 2,4a-c, show a detailed format of one example MSDU. Since examiner is not asserting ACK frame of FIG. 2,4a-b as a second protocol data unit, the applicant argument of FIG. 2, 4a-c having a first protocol data unit and a second protocol data unit is irrelevant. Moreover, in view of FIG. 2, 4a-c, ACK frame is not a data frame, and ACK frame cannot be a data frame since data frame is already being presented in FIG. 2, 4a-c. Examiner is asserting the subsequent MSDU of FIG. 6 as a second protocol data unit, not the subsequent ACK frame within MSDU of FIG. 2, 4a-c.

INM JN / 1 1-30-08